

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		. FI	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR Tsutou Asakura	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO. 5664	
10/726,687			12/04/2003		25816		
	20529	0529 7590 11/01/2005		EXAMINER			
	NATH & ASSOCIATES			DUONG, THOI V			
		STREET, N	IW		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
6TH FLOOR			20005		2021		

DATE MAILED: 11/01/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			1	H٠١
•	·	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		10/726,687	ASAKURA, TSUTOU	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Thoi V. Duong	2871	
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence address	
WHI - Exte afte - If N - Fail Any	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLICATION OF THE MAILING DEPOSITION OF	DATE OF THIS COMMUNI. 136(a). In no event, however, may a divill apply and will expire SIX (6) MO te, cause the application to become A	ICATION. reply be timely filed  NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 A	<u> August 2005</u> .		
2a)⊠	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) Thi	is action is non-final.		
3)□	Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under	·	•	
Disposit	tion of Claims			
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-7 ie/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1-7 ie/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	awn from consideration.		
Applicat	tion Papers		•	
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examinal The drawing(s) filed on <u>04 December 2003</u> is/of Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ e drawing(s) be held in abeya ction is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12)⊠ a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign   All   b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documen 2. Certified copies of the priority documen 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in a ority documents have beer au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage	
Attachme	nt(s) ice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) ☐ Interview	Summary (PTO-413)	
2) Noti	ice of Neterlands Gleek (170-032) ice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 ier No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No	(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

This office action is in response to the Amendment filed August 16, 2005.
 Accordingly, claims 1-4 were amended, and new claims 5-7 were added.
 Currently, claims 1-7 are pending in this application.

#### Drawings

2. Figures 1A, 1B, 2, 3A and 3B should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). Corrected drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

## Claim Objections

- 3. Claim 2 is objected to because of the following informalities: claim 2 should be dependent on claim 1 instead of claim 2 itself. Appropriate correction is required.
- 4. Claim 6 is objected to because of the following informalities: claim 6 recites the limitations "the barrier member" in line 4 and "the filter" in line 5. There is insufficient antecedent basis for these limitations in the claim. Appropriate correction is required.
- 5. Claim 7 is objected to because of the following informalities: the preamble of claim 7 directs to a device (an image display apparatus) while the body of claim 7 directs to a method. Appropriate correction is required.

Application/Control Number: 10/726,687 Page 3

Art Unit: 2871

### Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-4 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

8. Claims 1, 3, 5 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Ishino (USPN 6,726,334 B2).

Re claims 1 and 7, as shown in Fig. 1, Ishino discloses an image display apparatus comprising:

a white light source 1 for emitting white light in the form of a light beam of a prescribed cross-sectional area (col. 5, lines 21-23);

three spatial light modulating elements 19, 10 and 13 for modulating three primary colors R, G and B respectively (col. 5, lines 1-11),

a lens 4 for emitting the light beam emitted from the white light source 1;

a primary means 22 for adjusting the color balance of the white light entering the lens 4 by reducing the level of any of the red, green or blue light components of the white light as shown in Figs. 3 and 8-10 (col. 7, line 46 through col. 8, line 21).

means of separating (dichroic mirrors 7, 8 and 15) and synthesizing (cross prism 20) for separating the three primary colors of light for which color balance has been adjusted with the primary means 22 for adjustment, for directing light of the three primary colors to the three spatial light modulating elements 19, 10 and 13 and for synthesizing light of the three primary colors modulated with the three spatial light modulating elements 19, 10 and 13 (col. 9, lines 7-47); and

means of projection (projection lens 21) for projecting light synthesized with the means of separating and synthesizing (col. 9, lines 44-55),

Re claim 3, as shown in Fig. 1, a lens array 3 is provided between the white light 1 and the lens 4.

Re claim 5, the primary means 22 is a filter for reducing the level of any of the red, green or blue light components of the white light as shown in Fig. 3 (col. 4, lines 46-60).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

9. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Art Unit: 2871

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

10. Claims 2, 4 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ishino (USPN 6,726,334 B2) in view of Kanaya (USPN 6,466,375 B1).

Ishino discloses an image display apparatus that is basically the same as recited in claim 2 except for a barrier member for blocking all wavelength regions of the white light in at least part of a light beam entering or leaving the lens and a secondary means for adjusting the intensity of the white light in a light beam in which all wavelength regions are interrupted by the barrier member.

As shown in Figs. 1 and 3A-3C, Kanaya discloses an image display apparatus comprising a barrier member 23 (light blocking plate) for blocking all wavelength regions of the white light in at least part of a light beam leaving a pair of lens array 21, 22 (col. 4, lines 1-15 and col. 5, lines 27-64) and a secondary means of adjustment (filter 30 in Fig. 3B of filters 30B and 30G in Fig. 3C) for adjusting the intensity of the white light in a light beam in which all wavelength regions are interrupted by the barrier member 23 (col. 5, line 65 through col. 6, lines 17).

Thus, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the image display apparatus of Ishino with the teaching of Kanaya by employing a barrier member for blocking all wavelength regions of the white light in at least part of a light beam leaving the focusing lens and a secondary means of adjustment for adjusting the intensity of the white light in a light beam in which all wavelength regions are interrupted by the barrier member so as to

prevent the leakage light and unnecessary color rays except for a predetermined color ray from entering the pixel corresponding to the predetermined color ray, and hence to improve a color purity and display a high quality image (col. 8, lines 49-52; col. 9, lines 16-18; and col. 9, line 65 through col. 10, line 4).

Re claim 4, as shown in Fig. 1, Ishino discloses a lens array 3 provided between the white light source 1 and the lens 4.

Re claim 6, as shown in Figs. 8-10, Ishino discloses that the primary means 22 is a filter for reducing the level of any of the red, green or blue light components of the white light at both ends either in the left and right direction or in the upper and lower direction of the prescribed cross-sectional area of the white light (col. 10, line 61 through col. 11, line 58).

As shown in Fig. 1 of Ishino, the primary means 22 is installed orthogonal to the optical axis, and as shown in Fig. 1 of Kanaya, the barrier member 23 is also installed orthogonal to the optical axis 10. Accordingly, it is clear that the barrier member is positioned perpendicular to the direction in which the primary means is installed, or the barrier layer can move in a direction orthogonal to the side at which the primary means (filter) is disposed.

#### Conclusion

11. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/726,687

Art Unit: 2871

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later

than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Thoi V. Duong whose telephone number is (571) 272-

2292. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:30 am to 4:30

pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Robert Kim, can be reached at (571) 272-2293.

Thoi Duong

Ind

10/30/2005

ANDREW SCHECHTER
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 7